EXHIBIT A (II of V)

69 you couldn't answer the questions, then they would have
to conclude that you were discriminating?
A. The tone of voice was very accusatory, and
it left no doubt in my mind, even in my impaired state
sitting there medicated, that she had made her mind up.
Right from the get-go of this inquisition, Ms. Bowden's
mind was made up. There was nothing I was going to say
that was going to change her mind.
Q. Apart from the tone of voice that she used,
was there anything improper in her telling you that if
you couldn't answer the questions they were going to
have to conclude that you were, in fact, discriminating?
A. One more time? You're losing me on that
question.
Q. Other than the tone of voice that
Ms. Bowden used, was there anything improper about her
telling you that if you couldn't answer the questions,
they were going to have to conclude that you were
discriminating?
A. Yes. I think it was improper. She came in

with scripted -- with a scripted agenda. I came in stone cold. So I've already -- we're repeating ourselves here. I thought it was very improper.

She had a prearranged agenda, a scripted

list of questions. I'm coming in stone cold with no warning. I tried to contact her to get some idea what the purpose -- with no response. And I come in stone cold, not proper.

Q. Apart from her tone of voice and the lack of advance notice, was there anything improper about her asking you or telling you if you couldn't answer the questions they were going to have to conclude that you were discriminating?

MR. TEAGUE: Objection. He's asked and answered this. He's already testified to this. I don't know what else he can --

- A. I think it was improper to be putting somebody through an inquisition who's trying to do a decent day's work even though under medication that a lot of people might have not even been at work that day. I thought the whole thing was improper.
- Q. Okay. I'm not asking about the whole thing. I'm asking about her telling you that if you couldn't answer the questions, they were going to have to conclude that you were discriminating. That's the only part of the meeting I'm asking you about right now.

You indicated in response to earlier

questions about that statement that you felt it was improper because of the tone of voice that she used and the lack of advance notice. Apart from those two issues -- and, again, I'm just dealing with that statement -- is there anything else that was improper about her saying that to you?

MR. TEAGUE: I object to the form of the question because it doesn't accurately summarize his

A. You've got to ask it one more time.

testimony. You may answer it as best you can.

- Q. Okay. You indicated -- and correct me if
 I'm wrong -- that you felt it was improper for
 Ms. Bowden to tell you that if you couldn't answer the
 questions they were going to have to conclude that you
 were, in fact, discriminating; isn't that correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And you indicated that one reason you felt that that was improper was because she didn't give you advance notice so that you could prepare for the meeting; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And you felt that another reason it was improper was because of the accusatory tone that she used when she was talking with you; is that correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. What I'm asking you is whether there were any other reasons why it was improper for her to say that statement to you at this meeting?
- A. Yes. I think it's improper to take as gospel allegations made by this Ms. Leaton, who was nearing the end of her six-month contract which was not going to be renewed, probably for good cause, and who had had other dealings, arguments, other disputes with other hiring managers -- to give credence to somebody like that versus a long-time 28-year railroad employee who has done thousands of resumes, thousands of interviews, been rated above average four years in a row, exceeded a 30 percent guideline for hiring females and minorities. That was improper, no matter how you slice it.
- Q. So just so that I understand, another reason why you've giving for it being improper for Ms. Bowden to tell you that if you couldn't answer the questions they were going to have to conclude that you were discriminating was that you don't think Ms. Bowden should have given credence to Alison Leaton's allegations to begin with, and also you felt like your hiring record demonstrated that you weren't

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

_,18

19

20

21

22

23

24

73

discriminating; is that correct?

- A. Correct.
 - Q. Apart from those reasons, are there any other reasons why you felt it was inappropriate for Ms. Bowden to tell you if you couldn't answer the questions they were going to have to conclude that you were discriminating?
 - A. Yeah. I think it was improper. They could have asked if I was under any medication. Obviously I wasn't acting my normal self like I normally would. I wasn't totally in control of my faculties. I was heavily medicated. The medication slows your entire metabolism down.
 - Q. So you're adding another reason why it was inappropriate for her to even ask that question or even make that statement to you was because she should have asked you if you were under medication; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Are there any other reasons why you think it was inappropriate for her to make that comment to you?
 - A. Not that I can recall right now.
 - Q. Now, you indicated that you believe
 Ms. Bowden had made up her mind that you were

	/4
1	discriminating before the meeting because of certain
2	issues raised by Chuck Turner; is that correct?
3	A. Repeat that one?
4	Q. Is one of the reasons why you believe
5	Ms. Bowden had made up her mind before this meeting that
6	you were discriminating was because of concerns raised
7	by Chuck Turner?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And what was it about those concerns that
10	made you think that Ms. Bowden had made her mind up
11	before the meeting?
12	A. I believe that Ms. Bowden was looking for a
13	convenient scapegoat to remove the heat from her and
14	Ms. Leaton and to satisfy City Councilor Chuck Turner.
15	And I believe I was being set up to be a convenient
16	scapegoat for all of MBCR's lack of diversity in
17	hiring.
18	Q. Tell me each reason why you think you were
19	being set up as a scapegoat.
20	A. That's my firm belief. I cannot prove it.
21	Q. I'm asking you for each reason why you
22	believe it.
23	A. Well, because Bowden wasn't going to take
24	the heat. She went to Leaton. She wasn't going to take

	75
1	the heat. So who better to throw under the bus than
2	I was in the wrong place at the wrong time, apparently.
3	Q. But what makes you think that Ms. Bowden
4	was even under any heat, as you put it?
5	A. As I said earlier, I'm not sure of the
6	exact time frame. But I now believe subsequent events
7	I believe that it was in this time frame that
8	Ms. Bowden was under this pressure. I understand that
9	she got lambasted at this meeting with Chuck Turner.
10	Q. You're not sure when this meeting
11	ooccurred?
12	A. No.
13	Q. You're not sure if this meeting occurred
14	after your termination?
15	A. My understanding is it took place before.
16	Q. And what do you base that understanding
17	on?
18	A. I heard through the grapevine very shortly
19	after I was terminated that such a meeting had taken
20	place.
21	Q. But you can't recall who told you that?
22	A. No.
23	Q. And you can't recall whether what they
24	said about the meeting other than what you testified; is

_				
tha	t c	OTT	. P.C	ተን

- A. Correct. But I heard it from more than one source. That I can remember. It was multiple sources.
- Q. But you can't recall anyone in particular who told you this?
 - A. No.
- Q. And what makes you think that it was inappropriate for Ms. Bowden to believe Alison Leaton instead of you as to whether or not you were discriminating?
- A. Well, Ms. Leaton's contract was up at the end of the month of March so it was imminent she was going to be unemployed. That makes me suspicious of the time. These just weren't coincidences that happened.

Ms. Leaton has had disputes and had problems with other hiring managers. Or I should say there were disputes with the hiring managers. There seems to be a common thread here that Ms. Leaton had problems with other hiring managers.

When it comes to credibility, putting
Alison Leaton, her word, against a long-time railroad
employee, to me, Ms. Leaton doesn't have much
credibility. To any reasonable observer, I don't
believe Ms. Leaton has much credibility.

Clarify me if I'm wrong. The reasons why you think that it was inappropriate for Ms. Bowden to believe Alison
Leaton instead of you was because of your long
experience as an employee in the railroad industry
versus Alison Leaton being on a short-term contract; is that correct?

A. Not quite. That wasn't quite my words.

- Q. Okay.
- A. Again, not just that. As I alluded to earlier, over the 26 years, I've looked at thousands of resumes, done thousands of interviews with Amtrak and always in the presence of HR -- never had a problem, was rated above average in valuing diversity, equal employment opportunity, affirmative action, hiring females in non-traditional roles.

One of the vacancies we were interviewing for during this time period was a female who resigned and left the railroad. So don't -- you know, you didn't quite paint an accurate picture. You base it on the entire career. You base it on that versus Ms. Leaton -- it's apples and oranges when it comes to credibility.

Q. But you had only worked for Mass. Bay
Commuter Rail for approximately nine months at this
time; isn't that correct?

- 1	79
1	A. Correct.
2	Q. And you had only known Ms. Bowden for
3	approximately a year; isn't that correct?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. And Ms. Bowden, to your knowledge, wasn't
6	familiar with your previous employment history in
7	hiring; is that correct?
8	A. It was lack of research. That's her
9	problem and MBCR's, not mine. My record was out there.
10	It was there to be scrutinized.
11	Q. But my question to you is: To your
12	knowledge, Ms. Bowden was unaware of your previous track
13	record in hiring; isn't that correct?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And you may have been working in the
16	railroad industry many years, but you were only a new
17	employee at MBCR; isn't that correct?
18	A. Correct.
19	Q. And when Ms. Bowden was trying to determine
20	whether to believe Alison Leaton or whether to believe
21	yourself, she was looking at an employee who had only
22	worked for MBCR for nine months; isn't that correct?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. Do you think Elizabeth Bowden was the

was coming.

No.

03/22/20
8 person who was responsible for what you say was the lack
of advance notice about the meeting on March 26th?
A. Yes.
Q. And on what basis do you make that
statement?
A. I placed when I first became aware I
placed a call the day before to her to inquire what the
nature of this meeting was going to be so I could be
better prepared. As I testified earlier, as usual, you
never get her to respond. You get a voice mail or an
answering machine.
Q. But you understood that the meeting was
going to be discussing concerns about hiring in the
track department; isn't that correct?
A. The e-mail she had sent the day previous,
which I got the Thursday morning, said there was going
to be a meeting to address concerns over hiring in the
track department, I think.
Q. So you understood, going to this meeting,
that you were going to be discussing concerns about
hiring in the track department; isn't that correct?
A. But nowhere near the nature of the meeting
as it degenerated, no. I had no idea inkling what

1	A. Well, I don't think it was proper
2	practice. But at MBCR you have to distinguish
3	between normal HR practice and MBCR under this group
4	practice. This is par for their course. That's how
5	they treat people. That's how they did business.
6	Q. So, to your knowledge, had they had other
7	investigations of other employees where they called
8	those employees in without giving what you would
9	consider to be advance notice?
10	A. I have no knowledge of that. I have no
11	knowledge one way or the other.
12	Q. Okay. So you don't know if you were
13	treated any differently from anybody else who was
14	investigated by HR in terms of advance notice; is that
15	correct?
16	A. I have no idea.
17	Q. Are there some situations where you think
18	an employer would want to question an employee without
19	giving the employee time to prepare an answer?
20	A. I don't know.
21	Q. And once you were at this meeting, they did
22	explain the allegations that were against you; isn't
23	that correct?
24	A. No, I wouldn't say it was explained. It

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

degenerated, yes, I did understand that.	91
Q. While you were there, you understood that	
that was the allegation that was being made?	
A. Yes.	
Q. And you understood at the time of the	
meeting while you were in the room that Alison Leaton	
was making some link between race and where the person	
lived and their name; is that correct?	
A. No. At the time I couldn't quite figure	
out and decipher what the hell I was being accused of.	

- out a I was so shocked and sitting there in a daze, I didn't know what the hell they were saying. I didn't know what the hell they were accusing me of. And I just couldn't quite fathom why I was there and what the whole purpose of this thing was.
- But you came to understand during the Q. meeting that you were there because they were -- Alison Leaton was accusing you of discriminating against black applicants?
 - Α. Yes.
- And did you tell anybody at the meeting ο. that you couldn't respond to any of the allegations because you were not feeling well?
 - I worked on the railroad over 28 years, A.

never took a sick day. I've been here no matter how I felt. That's the kind of dedication I have, which was always rewarded and appreciated by prior employers till this cast of characters came in MBCR.

- Q. So the answer to the question is?
- A. Repeat the question.
- Q. Did you ever tell anybody at the meeting that you couldn't answer the questions because you weren't -- respond to the accusations because you weren't feeling well?
- A. I didn't know why -- I didn't understand why I was feeling that way. I didn't understand that until almost a month later when I had a follow up with my personal care physician and he changed the medication.

And I explained what I was feeling. And apparently it was side effects. It wasn't until early April, after I was terminated. Only then did I understand side effects. And he took me off the medication and changed it. And I was back to normal. I didn't understand what I was going through.

At the time of this session it was approximately one week after I started the medication.

As far as I was concerned, it says it takes your body a

	93
1	while to adjust to any new medication. I'd never been
2	on high blood pressure medication in my life. This was
3	the first time.
4	I figured my body was adjusting. I didn't
5	know what condition I was in at the time. And I didn't
6	know till a month later or till the first week of April
7	after I had been on the medication for more than a
8	month. Once I was off it, then I understood what I had
9	been experiencing.
10	Q. You know, you need to respond to the
11	question. Did you tell anybody at the meeting that you
12	couldn't answer the questions because you weren't
13	feeling well?
14	A. No. I didn't understand what my state was.
15	Q. Okay. So you didn't tell anybody at the
16	meeting that you couldn't answer the questions because
17	you weren't feeling well
18	A. No.
19	Q is that correct? You didn't tell them?
20	A. That's correct.
21	Q. And what were the side effects of this
22	medication that you were experiencing on March 26th at
23	the time of the meeting?
24	A. Mental sluggishness. The medication acts

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

94 to slow your entire metabolism, heart beat, pulse, blood It affects your mental capability, severe headaches, diarrhea, gas, problems digesting anything. That's the major ones. Q. And when you say mental sluggishness, what

did that mean? How did that affect your ability to hear what they were telling you at the meeting?

Α. As I described, it was almost a surreal -like I was in a daze or a stupor sitting there. And I was able to do my routine day-to-day stuff and get by. But I was hit with this.

This was not routine. This was -- ended up being career threatening. I just -- I just couldn't deal with it. I didn't understand what the hell was going on. And I didn't have the mental capacity to -- I wasn't sharp enough to respond or to intelligently have a two-way discussion.

- And so the mental sluggishness that you say 0. you were experiencing didn't affect your ability to do other aspects of your job at MBCR; is that correct?
- I was doing routine stuff, the day-to-day stuff. I've been doing it for 28 years. I can get by, the routine stuff. I have enough experience. I could get by.

But was I ready to deal with a major career-threatening series of circumstances and being bombarded with all these ridiculous accusations?

Mentally I couldn't cope with that.

- Q. And do you have anything -- any reason to believe that Ms. Bowden understood that you were experiencing these side effects of your medication at the time of the meeting?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do you have any reason to believe or to conclude that Ms. Bowden's role in your termination was based on anything other than a belief on her part that you were discriminating?
- A. I believe the nine-month marathon to get life insurance, my speaking out the truth about the 401K scam, I believe that had an impact on the decision to terminate me. There's no doubt in my mind.
- Q. What was it about what Ms. Bowden did or said that leads you to conclude that her role in your termination was motivated by either the insurance issue or the 401K as opposed to her belief that you were discriminating?
- A. I can't prove that. That's my belief. I cannot prove that --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

101

has discriminated against black applicants?

- A. Yes, it is improper because I don't discriminate against black or minority applicants. I try to select above average candidates. That resume was a blow average candidate, period.
- Q. I'm not talking about you. You're still not responding to the question. Is there something --

MR. TEAGUE: Let me -- do you want to take a break for five minutes? Or we'll be here all day -- MS. RUBIN: Okay.

MR. TEAGUE: -- going back and forth.

MS. RUBIN: Sure.

(Recess.)

BY MS. RUBIN:

- Q. Before the break I had asked you a question, and I'm going to ask it again or words to that effect. Assuming that Elizabeth Bowden, head of HR for MBCR, determined that an employee was discriminating against black applicants, is there anything improper about her terminating an employee based on that belief?
- A. I can't believe that any competent human resource person would base such a decision on such ridiculous evidence as a resume and where the guy allegedly lives. It's absurd. No competent HR person,

without checking with any of my co-workers what my history was -- as we said, the entire work force came over. People who had been working with me for 18 years on commuter rail, some 25 years on Amtrak. No competent HR person would make that decision based on such flimsy, ridiculous evidence.

Q. I'm not talking about you. Okay? I'm talking about in general. If an HR manager were to determine that one of her employees was discriminating against black applicants, is there anything improper about recommending the termination of that employee on that basis?

MR. TEAGUE: You mean as an abstract proposition?

MS. RUBIN: Correct.

- A. One more time?
- Q. Is there anything improper about an HR manager recommending the termination of an employee where that HR manager believed that that employee has discriminated against black applicants?
- A. I think you have to have more than belief. You have to have real solid proof, more than Alison Leaton's opinion that what I looked at a resume. I don't think that was proper belief.

- Q. Apart from Mr. Urban's participation in the two meetings and the manner in which he escorted you to your office and then escorted you to the vehicle, is there any other way that you feel he wrongfully interfered with your employment relationship with MBCR?
- A. Yes, by his -- by his recommendation, as testified to, that he voted in the kangaroo court that I should be terminated. So by his own testimony -- so, yes, he did have an impact.
- Q. Okay. Apart from his -- Mr. Urban's participation in the two meetings, the manner in which he escorted you to the office and then to the vehicle and the recommendation for your termination, is there any other way that you think Mr. Urban wrongfully interfered with your employment relationship at MBCR?
- A. Yes. By giving credence to these ridiculous allegations made by Alison Leaton and going along for the ride, I think he did. Yes, he did.
- Q. Okay. So the question is -- I'm looking for each and every way that you believe Mr. Urban wrongfully interfered with your employment relationship with MBCR. You named four things: The participation in the two meetings, the manner in which he escorted you to your office and then to the car, the recommendation that

he voted for your termination, and by giving credence to Alison Leaton's allegations. Is there any other way that you believe Mr. Urban wrongfully terminated -- wrongfully interfered with your employment relationship with MBCR?

A. No.

- Q. And what was it about Mr. Urban's participation in these two meetings -- and the two meetings that we're referring to are the March 26th meeting and the March 30th meeting; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. What was it about Mr. Urban's participation in those two meetings that you think was wrongful?
- A. Well, for him to give credence to these ridiculous allegations made by Alison Leaton, who was -- as I previously testified, has had problems with other hiring managers and her contract was not renewed, and the fact that I've known Steve Urban a long time -- he's known of my work record; he's known of my work ethic going back to Amtrak commuter rail time and even before that back to Amtrak Intercity time we worked together back as far as the late '70s -- he certainly was aware of my reputation and certainly -- you know, MBCR said they didn't do much checking of my Amtrak background.

Certainly -- he worked for both firms. As deputy general manager he certainly could have made an attempt to research or investigate my past record, if he didn't already know just from common work knowledge. So he could have done that, but apparently he didn't do any of that and just took these ridiculous allegations that Leaton made at face value and voted for my termination.

- Q. Okay. I'm going back to the issue of his participation -- Mr. Urban's participation in the two March meetings. You think that -- you testified that that was wrongful -- his participation in those meetings was wrongful because he was giving credence to Alison Leaton's allegations and that he had prior knowledge of you and could have done some additional research with Amtrak to look at your prior record. Is that what you -- is that the reasons why you think his participation was wrongful?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Are there any other reasons why you think his participation in those two meetings was wrongful?
 - A. No.

Q. And what was wrongful about the manner in which he escorted you first to your office and then to your car after your termination?

	113
1	Q. And during the period of time after you
2	became an MBCR employee, did you have any relationship
3	with him outside of work?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Before you became an MBCR employee in your
6	interactions with Mr. Urban, did he have any familiarity
7	with your hiring practices, to your knowledge?
8	A. He might have. I have no specific
9	knowledge of that.
10	Q. And in the course of your employment after
11	you became an MBCR employee, approximately how
12	frequently did you have interactions with Mr. Urban?
13	A. Maybe once every two weeks.
14	Q. And on what kinds of issues?
15	A. I'd see him at Cobble Hill on routine
16	issues. I can't remember the exact nature of it.
17	Q. Did you enjoy a good working relationship
18	with Mr. Urban?
19	A. I seemed to.
20	Q. Do you have any difficulties with Mr. Urban
21	before the March 26th meeting?
22	A. Only once.
23	Q. And what was that?
24	A. Are you referring to MBCR or Amtrak?

115 Q. While you were at Mass. Bay Commuter Rail,
did you have any strike that.
Again, now I'm just focusing on the period
of time when you were at Mass. Bay Commuter Rail. Did
Mr. Urban ever say anything that was complimentary to
you about your performance as an MBCR employee?
A. Not that I can recall.
Q. Did anybody ever tell you that Mr. Urban
said anything did anybody ever tell you that
Mr. Urban said anything that was complimentary about
your performance?
A. Yes.
Q. And what was that?
A. Somebody told me that and I don't know
how they whether it was directly from Urban or what
that he had related that his participation in this
process in terminating me was the hardest thing he ever
had to do in the railroad in his railroad career.
Q. And who told you that?

- A. It was long -- I can't remember who said that.
- Q. And what did you understand that remark to mean?
 - A. I thought it meant that it was the hardest

1	thing I thought it meant that Mr. Urban really didn't
2	believe that was the proper action, that there should
3	have been some action less than termination taken, some
4	intermediary action, that it really didn't warrant
5	terminating a 28-year railroad career.
6	Q. Did Mr. Urban ever say anything to you that
7	was critical about your performance as an employee?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Did anybody ever tell you that Mr. Urban
10	said anything that was critical about your performance
11	as an MBCR employee?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Did Mr. Urban ever say anything to you that
14	was complimentary about your hiring practices at MBCR?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Mr. Urban
17	said anything that was complimentary about your hiring
18	practices at MBCR?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Did Mr. Urban ever say anything to you that
21	was negative about your hiring practices at MBCR?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Mr. Urban
24	said anything that was negative about your hiring

	117
1	practices at MBCR?
2	A. No.
3	Q. Did you regard Mr. Urban as an approachable
4	person, someone you could speak to if you had to?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Did you trust him?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Why not?
9	A. I never trusted Steve Urban. His nickname
10	for years was Snively Whiplash. He was just not
11	somebody that could be trusted.
12	Q. And did you ever have any experiences with
13	him where you knew him to be untrustworthy?
14	A. Well, the dispatching incident that I
15	alluded to earlier. But not specifically. But there
16	was just something about him, again, that I didn't think
17	he could be trusted.
18	Q. To your knowledge, did Mr. Urban ever lie?
19	A. Not that I can recall.
20	Q. Did Mr. Urban ever do or say anything in
21	your presence that indicated to you that Mr. Urban
22	wanted your employment with MBCR to end?
23	A. No.
24	Q. Did you ever learn that Mr. Urban did or

	118
1	said anything outside of your presence that indicated he
2	wanted your employment with MBCR to end?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Are you aware of any reasons that Mr. Urban
5	may have wanted your employment to end?
6	A. Only that as the deputy general manager, he
7	reported directly to Kevin Lydon so that that's the only
8	possible connection that if Lydon wanted me gone, Urban
9	would do his bidding.
10	Q. Apart from Mr. Urban's role as reporting to
11	Kevin Lydon, is there any other reason that you can
12	think of that Mr. Urban may have wanted your employment
13	to end?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Are you aware of any reason why Kevin
16	Lydon may have wanted your employment to end?
17	A. Well, there's some possibilities.
18	Q. Name them.
L9	A. The 401K scam that I alluded to earlier
20	cost somebody a payday, a big payday. It came to my
21	attention one reason why we were finally going
22	through this hiring process is that we were
23	shorthanded MBCR was shorthanded. There had been a
24	freeze on hiring for the last year and a half at

2.

Amtrak. And then MBCR came on the scene.

Due to normal attrition, entering the winter of 2003, 2004, I had 37 fewer track department employees than I had two winters ago with Amtrak. I made this known through the normal chain of command at our snow meetings -- with my meetings with supervisor Steve Nevero.

And after a month nothing happened so I wrote a memo, which you have as an exhibit in front of you, from Mr. Nevero's testimony.

As relates to Mr. Lydon, the first snowstorm at MBCR's tenure was December 6th and 7th of 2003. It snowed most of the weekend. And it degenerated into a complete fiasco where we didn't even have enough forces to go out and clean certain platforms and parking lots. And I believe the memo -- it was the worst fiasco of any snowstorm since I had been on the commuter rail.

And despite the fact that at Amtrak we had handled many larger storms in routine fashion because we had the proper forces, the storm was such a fiasco -- including there was one fatality, incidentally. There was a B&B employee, a Mr. McTague who was killed by a -- struck by a train. One contributing factor was they